



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,342	05/31/2000	Frederic Bushman	1211.002US1	2389

21186 7590 02/26/2003

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.  
P.O. BOX 2938  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1634	

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/583,342</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Bushman</b>
	Examiner <b>Arun Chakrabarti</b>	Art Unit <b>1634</b>



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arun Chakrabarti

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robin Chadwick

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 12, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic      b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes      e) No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

All

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

*Applicant explained that instant method claims are different from the methods disclosed by Lynch (U.S. Patent 5,998,152). Applicant was advised to withdraw the kit claims. Applicant informed that a response will be filed. Applicant's response will be considered favorably.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Arun Kr. Chakrabarti*  
Examiner's signature, if required